

I oppose loosening the rules designed to promote and protect diversity of media ownership. These rules were adopted to ensure that the public would receive a diverse range of viewpoints from the media, and not simply the opinions of a handful of media conglomerates.

Why would anyone, who did not stand to make a great deal of money from this scheme, think it was a good idea? The FCC was created for the purpose of REGULATING the media, not de-regulating it. Perhaps you should ask yourselves what the American people would stand to gain from a proposition that surely would only serve to further homogenize an already dangerously homogenized mass media. I think it's very illuminating that this issue is not being discussed in any other newspaper, radio, television or internet source besides that of the publically funded PBS. If you control the media you control the message, as this all too plainly illustrates. If you open the door to allow the Big Five to become the Big One, how many other important pieces of legislation will the public not be made aware of until the time for action has conveniently passed? I will follow your actions regarding this matter with interest.